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Abstract: The study was conducted to find out the positive and/or negative effects that hotel employees may have upon hotel guests’ experiences during their stay. Using the critical incident technique (CIT), data were obtained from 105 guests (a total of 174 incidents) staying in two different 5-star hotels that have similar characteristics in terms of type, price, ownership and concept in Bodrum, Turkey. The incidents were primarily categorized as positive and negative and afterward the incidents from two categories were compared to each other in terms of three main process of hotel accommodation as check-in, accommodation and check-out. Despite the fact that most hotels currently place emphasis on employee-guest interactions –under the concept of service quality or customer satisfaction-, the findings revealed that there were still a number of negative incidents that caused customer dissatisfaction and managerial implications were needed in hotels to minimize negative incidents and maximize the positive ones.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Nearly all service offerings require high levels of consumer involvement however deeper levels of engagement on the part of the consumers can be recognized in tourism industry. In other words, service in tourism - which is characterized by being mostly intangible, perishable and variable-, depends heavily on human interactions which is called service encounter (Bitner et al., 1990) or moments of truth (Carlzon, 1987). Moreover, as Wu (2007) stated service is a summation of interaction and performance between employees and customers. Therefore, service organizations have to focus on identifying the factors affecting customers’ perceptions of these interactions (Bitner, 1990; Bitner et al., 1990; Fisk et al., 1993). It is also well-known that in order to be successful in competitive business environment, all service businesses must develop and sustain long-term relationship with their customers as the future of the business heavily depends on customer satisfaction (Chen and Popovich, 2003; Freeman and Glazer, 2012; Rangan et al., 2012). Therefore, service encounters related to interactions and relationships between employees and guests have always been the foci of academic research from different points of views. In their former studies some scholars (Bitner et al., 1990; Nyquist et al., 1985) examined and categorized specific interactions and events causing satisfaction and dissatisfaction whereas others (Mattila, 1999; Furrer et al., 2000; Tsang and Ap, 2007) evaluated consumers’ interactions with employees from a cross-cultural perspective. On the other hand, in some studies (Christou, 2002; Juwaheer, 2004; Grandey et al., 2005) the impacts of interpersonal attributes of employees were discussed.

Being the main component of tourism industry, the major part of hotels’ product involves service encounters and these moments of truths have usually been the major consideration while studying service quality and customer satisfaction. From hotel service perspective, customers may be affected by interpersonal encounters (either customer-to-customer or employee-to-customer) directly or indirectly by being the part of the service (Baker, 1987). Keeping in mind that employee and guest interactions could either enhance or damage the service experience, specifically, the main aim of this study was to explore the positive and negative incidents that hotel employees may have upon hotel guests’
and customers. In other words, employee and guest comes to mind is interpersonal exchange between employee and guests. When one thinks of service encounters in hotels, what usually occurs can happen without any human interaction element (Bittner et al., 1990). However, this study’s perspective is narrower to that of Shostack (1985; 243) as “a period of time during which a consumer directly interacts with a service”. Shostack’s definition covers all aspects of the service as the personnel, the physical facilities and other visible elements. In other words, the service encounters are not limited to interpersonal interactions between the service providers (employees) and the consumers (guests) rather encounters can occur between employees and guest interactions in hotels often become a focal point in consumers’ evaluations of the entire organization (Zeithaml et al., 1988).

The former empirical studies (Crosby and Stephens, 1987; Brown and Swartz, 1989; Surprenant and Solomon, 1987; Bittner et al., 1990) from different service organizations provide valuable contributions by mentioning the importance and effects of the human interaction during the service delivery on the satisfaction or dissatisfaction. From the tourism industry perspective, it is important to mention here that hotels are subject to service encounters since most of their service occurs during the interaction between employees and guests. In other words, in hotels, service encounters between employees and guests are a critical component of service quality perception and satisfaction of guests.

Critical incidents, on the other hand, involve the disconfirmation of expectations. Bittner et al., (1994) proposed that employees and customers share common views pertaining to how the service encounter should proceed. If there are deviations in these shared expectations thereby a critical incident occurs. It is crucial to note here that, especially in service industries, the attributions of employees and guests may differ as each group is likely to explain incidents from their own perspectives regarding the causes of events. In such a situation, it can be observed that employees are likely to blame customers, whereas the customers are to blame the employees.

What is more, the use of the critical incidents in tourism-related studies is still required since these incidents provide a broader understanding of consumers’ current needs and expectations during the service delivery. Moreover, as the consumers recall and tell the incidents as stories (Flanagan, 1954; Bittner, 1990; Edvardsson and Ross, 2001) it is easy for the researchers to reach the data.

2.2 Employee-Guest Interactions in Hotels

When one thinks of service encounters in hotels, what usually comes to mind is interpersonal exchange between employees and customers. In other words, employee and guest interactions occupy a vital place in much of services offered by a hotel. While it is true that dissatisfying critical incidents caused by employees are usually at issue, it is imperative to keep in mind that satisfying all the customers within the same service operation is practically impossible. Additionally, despite dozens of studies about hotel customers’ perception of service quality and satisfaction, little is still known about how they assess employee-guest interactions and it is impossible to list standards of customers’ assessments about these issues yet since service quality perception and satisfaction is very personal.

The relevant literature clearly demonstrated the effects of human interactions in terms of customers’ perception of the encounter. A close inspection of studies related to service quality shows that the majority of the dimensions relate to human interactions elements of service such as employee attentiveness and perceptiveness (De Ruyter and Wetzels, 2000; Farrell et al., 2001; Chatzigeorgiou & Simeli, 2017); politeness of the employees (Parasuraman et al., 1988); civility and concern (Winsted, 2000) and employees listening behaviours (Chandon et al., 1997; Eaton & Christou, 2000). In fact, it is actually known that hotel guests receive much more importance to similar employee behaviours and certainly adequately informed personnel, low-skilled staff, inadequate employee responses, unacceptable employee behaviour create dissatisfaction among hotel guests and these failures can dramatically affect customers’ perceptions in a negative way and increase customer complaints. Moreover, several studies (Bateson, 1985; Bowen and Schneider, 1985; Rafaeli, 1993; Christou, 2003; Sany, 2016) argue that customers are satisfied when employees are friendly, empowered, attentive, and adaptable. It is therefore required that employee interactions with guests should aim at creating an atmosphere of satisfaction and it is more than having efficient employees.

As Ross (1994) states, hospitality organizations should not be simply looking for employees to provide basic service to customers, they ought to be searching for the ones who can manage the service encounter. Thus, it is crucial for the hotels to understand the importance of employee-guest interactions. In other words, ideally, recognizing the consequences of interactions may produce valuable insights for managing employee to guest relationships and help managers to create guidelines for managing employee behaviours. Different models and studies on service encounters (Price et al., 1995; Winsted, 2000; Mensah & Mensah, 2018) exist but these studies are heavily dependent upon questionnaires using scales that require responses researcher identified. As Prayag and Ryan (2012) suggested, such approaches have merit in analyzing customers’ perceptions, however they fail to capture the emotional interactions of guests. One way to explore this subject in a more elaborate way can be observing and noting critical incidents that occur during the stay of the guests.

3 METHODOLOGY

The data collected for the current study were obtained using the convenience sampling technique. The researcher selected two 5-star hotels in Bodrum, which were believed to have similar characteristics in terms of location (Bodrum), type (resort hotel), price (luxury hotels), ownership (each hotel
Respondents were coded as Guest in Figure 1. The classification of the incidents of the research was presented negative interactions. The model demonstrating the incidents into three main categories for both positive and Posterior action of 87.5%. The differences were resolved through discussion. Apart from the researcher, the agreement rate reported was incidents were scrutinized again by another academician systematically classified into two main groups as positive and negative. To optimize the reliability of the classification all CI to do the following:

1. Identify positive and negative employee-guest interactions.
2. Classify the positive interactions regarding three hotel processes as check-in, accommodation and check-out.
3. Classify the negative interactions regarding three hotel processes as check-in, accommodation and check-out.
4. Develop recovery strategies for the negative incidents. After the incidents were transcribed, they were at first systematically classified into two main groups as positive and negative. To optimize the reliability of the classification all incidents were scrutinized again by another academician apart from the researcher. The agreement rate reported was 87.5%. The differences were resolved through discussion. Posterior action of the research was about dividing all incidents into three main categories for both positive and negative interactions. The model demonstrating the classification of the incidents of the research was presented in Figure 1.

**Figure 1. The classification of the incidents**

Critical incidents related to employee-guest interactions in hotels
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A total of 174 incidents were collected from 105 respondents. Respondents were coded as Guest – 1 to Guest – 105. 60% (63) of the respondents sampled were female whereas 40% (42) were male. 48 respondents (46%) were in the age group of 34-41, 30 were aged 26-33 (29%), while 22 respondents (20%) were aged 42-49 and 5 were (5%) in the age group of 18-25. 90 of the respondents were foreign tourists and 78 foreign tourists specified that they had visited Turkey before. On the other hand, 15 respondents were domestic tourists; descriptive statistics for respondents are presented in Table 1.

Moreover, descriptive statistics for incidents were also presented in Table 2. Within the sample, 116 (67%) incidents were coded as positive and 58 (33%) incidents as negative. Of the 116 positive incidents 65 (56%) were related to guests’ satisfaction about employee behaviors during check-in process. On the other hand, out of all incidents 58 were categorized as negative. Of the reported negative incidents 15 (26%) were related to check-out, 32 (55%) were reflecting occasions during guests’ stay in hotel and 11 (19%) were about check-out process.

**Table 1. Descriptive statistics for respondents**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-25</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-33</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34-41</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42-49</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nationality</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign</td>
<td>90*</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domestic</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*78 out of 90 foreign tourists mentioned that they have visited Turkey before.

**Table 2. Descriptive statistics for incidents**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of incident</th>
<th>Check-in (CI)</th>
<th>Accommodation (ACC)</th>
<th>Check-out (CO)</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Positive (P)</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative (N)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Abbreviations are used to simply identify and summarize the findings. (P) and (N) indicate either an incident is positive or negative; whereas (CI), (ACC) and (CO) mention the process regarding the incidents.

**4 FINDINGS**

The positive incidents were categorized into 4 sub-groups and named as (PCI-1) helpfulness (49%), (PCI-2) communication skills (26%), (PCI-3) timeliness (17%) and (PCI-4) courtesy (8%). The frequencies of sub-groups showed that most of the positive incidents during check-in were related to employees’ helping behaviours. Employees with good communication skills were mentioned in 17 incidents whereas getting the service on time or without any delay were in 11 incidents. Furthermore, guests emphasized courtesy of the employees in 5 incidents.
There were also a small (47%) and accommodation were mostly about the primary sources of dissatisfaction among guests during the heading of inexperience check main problems arising between employee and guest during In terms of negative incidents, the results showed that the main problems arising between employee and guest during check-in were about (NCI-1) misinformation (53%), (NCI-2) inexperience and other exceptional situations named under the heading of (NCI-3) miscellaneous (20%). Additionally the primary sources of dissatisfaction among guests during accommodation were mostly about (NACC-1) negligence (47%) and (NACC-2) rudeness (38%) of employees. There were also a small number of incidents related to (NACC-3) conflict (3 incidents) and (NACC-4) miscellaneous category (2 incidents). Out of 11 negative check-out incidents, 8 were about (NCO-1) disregard and 3 were about exceptional situations such as guests’ mistake and service failures. The frequencies and sub-groups of the negative incidents according to hotel processes were presented in Figure 3. As it was impossible to refer to every guest comment here, some of the comments related to positive and negative incidents were given in Table 3.

### Table 3. The expressions of incidents from the view of the guests

| CI | PCI-1 |.setBackgroundColor(255, 255, 255); | “We arrived to the hotel late at night with my 70 years old mother using a walking stick. We were both tired and I was trying to help my mother and also carrying the luggage. As we entered the lobby, one of the employees saw us and gently asked if he could help my mother through the walk till the reception.” | Guest-55(female) |
| PCI-2 | “The receptionist was fluently speaking the foreign language and this made me feel at home.” | Guest – 8 (female) |
| PCI-3 | “The reception was quite crowded when we arrived at the hotel. I thought we would wait very long to make the check-in, but it wasn’t like as I had expected. After a few minutes I was in my room.” | Guest – 63 (male) |
| PCI-4 | “During the check-in, the front-office staff was very polite and attentive. They were all trying to do their best in order to solve a problem that occurred as a result of a failure in the computer.” | Guest – 12 (female) |
| ACC | PACC-1 | “Some of the children were running around the waiting people during lunch and this was affecting the personnel who were trying to do their jobs properly. Despite that all the personnel were very patient and kind towards kids.” | Guest – 19 (male) |
| PACC-2 | “When I remembered that I forgot to make my reservation for the restaurant that I used every day during my stay I called them to ask whether it was possible to take late reservation. They told me that they had noticed it and had already made a reservation for me.” | Guest – 45 (male) |
| PACC-3 | “I noticed that hotel employees were always trying to make us feel at home. We were not only their customer, we were their guest.” | Guest – 6 (female) |
| PACC-4 | “TV in my room was not working. A technician came to the room to solve the problem. Then we understood that there were not any technical problem and the problem was about my child who had switched a wrong button on the remote control. I was very ashamed and disgraced but the technician was so kind and gently towards us.” | Guest – 93 (female) |
| PACC-5 | “They lefted a card in my room celebrating my birthday and presented me a gift. That was really impressive.” | Guest – 81 (female) |
| CO | PCO-1 | “We were a very crowded group-approximately 20 rooms checking-out at the same time, although I thought that the
The employee in hotels since the study was only determined to understand have investigated all of the different dimensions of incidents dissatisfaction from the point of the interactions that have caused satisfaction and/or businesses and attempted to investigate employee important part of employee The purpose of this study was to focus on incidents as an important part of employee behaviour. Neverthe-

The following day, there was a suit in my reception and they told me that they will arrange a suitable situation. They did not even apologize. “I could not receive the daily room cleaning service for two-days consecutively. When I saw the housekeepers in hallway I told them the situation. They did not even apologize. They said that they will arrange a suitable time for cleaning and entered another room.” Guest – 93 (male)

NACC-4 “We had ordered a birthday cake for my child but they forgot to bring the cake. When we called the room-service they said that the cake was not ready. It was a special day for us and they really did not care about it.” Guest – 29 (female)

NACC-3 “Some of the housekeeping personnel seemed to be always in conflict with guests. I had noticed a few times that they were having arguments.” Guest – 46 (female)

NACC-2 “I could not receive the daily room cleaning service for two-days consecutively. When I saw the housekeepers in hallway I told them the situation. They did not even apologize. They said that they will arrange a suitable time for cleaning and entered another room.” Guest – 93 (male)

NACC-1 “I gave my suit to laundry for dry cleaning. The following day, there was a suit in my room but it was not mine. I called the reception and they told me that they will correct the mistake as soon as possible. I had waited about two hours because of inattention.” Guest – 91 (female)

CI NCI-5 “The registration process took longer than necessary and we had to wait a long time in the lobby.” Guest – 73 (male)

CI NCI-4 “I had noticed a few times that they were having arguments.” Guest – 57 (female)

CI NCI-3 “The registration process took longer than necessary and we had to wait a long time in the lobby.” Guest – 73 (male)

CI NCI-2 “During registration we asked some questions to a receptionist about renting a bike. He mentioned that he was newly hired and did not know the process. He could ask to his colleague and answered our simple question. More experienced employees were needed in front office.” Guest – 16 (male)

CI NCI-1 “Information that we received about restaurant reservation during the check-in was incorrect.” Guest – 16 (male)

PCO-2 “The receptionist gave us a local souvenir while we were leaving the hotel. I was both surprised and impressed.” Guest – 38 (female)

Managerial and Theoretical Implications:
Using the critical incident method for such a multi-faceted subject as employee-guest interactions appears to be a useful tool for hospitality managers. Studies using this method can easily uncover specific events that underlie customer satisfaction or dissatisfaction. The findings of the current study can be also used as a base for developing employee behaviour monitoring programs and training processes in hotels. Moreover, the findings can help managers and employees to find out what customers consider important while evaluating their experiences in hotels.

Last but not the least, future studies could explore the subject within the broader tourism industry since it is believed that interaction incidents can change in different tourism enterprises. Further research could also seek to examine employees’ incidents about hotel guests. Last but not the least, future studies could discuss the subject similarly in different tourism destinations in order to compare the guests’ perceptions about employees in different hotels.
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**APPENDIX 1. THE QUESTIONNAIRE**

1. Can you please describe one or more positive incidents that occurred during your stay in hotel?

2. Can you please describe one or more negative incidents that occurred during your stay in hotel?

3. Gender (Male / Female)

4. Age (.............)

5. Nationality (Turkish / Foreign) The 6th question is for foreign tourists.

6. Is it your first trip to Turkey or not?

---
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